

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 08/24/2001 Gunnar Magnusson P01.0292 2023 09/914,248 **EXAMINER** 26574 7590 06/15/2004 SCHIFF HARDIN, LLP **BOCKELMAN, MARK** PATENT DEPARTMENT ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 6600 SEARS TOWER CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473 3762 3

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| -1 | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|--|-------------------|
| | 09/914,248 | MAGNUSSON, GUNNAR |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Mark W Bockelman | 3762 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | |
| Status | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | |
| · | action is non-final. | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4-13 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1. | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 09/914,248

Art Unit: 3762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claims 4-13 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim cannot depend fromer another multimple dependent claim. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claims 4-13 not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by O'Phelan et al USPN 5,876,424.

O'Phelan et al. show a prior art device in figure 2 that has a first flat wall at the top of the drawing (see generally at 20) and an opposing second flat wall on bottom, the walls are joined by a curved circumferential third wall with the top section of the wall having a smaller curvature of radius than the the curve section adjoined to the second, bottom wall. The examiner considers the limitation of the first wall adapted to face the skin of the patient to be a limitation

Application/Control Number: 09/914,248

Art Unit: 3762

structural restrictions since 1) it depends on how the physician intends to implant the device and 2) all walls of implants are adapted to be planted to face the skin 3) due to the skin being circumferentially enclosed, all implant walls face the skin of different portions of the body. Applicant's second statement of intended use regarding the relative electric field strengths is likewise given little patentable weight since it depends upon the location of the implanted electrode to the location of the walls of the implant device. Since the lead/electrode is not positively recited and the claim is not a method claim, such limitations to not adequately define over O'Phelan. O'Phelan teaches the entire enclosure were made of metal and thus each of the wall members. The applicant may wish to define relative dimensions of wall distances rather than "intend use" statements to better define their invention over O'Phelan et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mark W Bockelman whose telephone number is (703)-308-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday 10-8:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela Sykes can be reached on (703) 308-5181. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,248

Art Unit: 3762

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MWB

June 13, 2004